

Comment to the Editor

Muscle Thixotropy: More than Just Cross-Bridges? Response to Comment by Campbell and Lakie

ABSTRACT Although Campbell and Lakie in a Comment to the Editor in this issue of *Biophysical Journal* suggested that exclusive cross-bridge action is behind muscle thixotropy, recent findings and our preliminary observations suggest that additional mechanisms could also be involved.

In their Comment to the Editor (1), Campbell and Lakie argue that although contradictory reports abound, the thixotropic behavior of muscle is exclusively explained by a sole cross-bridge mechanism.

Thixotropy, as broadly defined, is the history-dependent change in fluid viscosity: the longer the applied shear stress, the lower the viscosity. In recent years the term muscle thixotropy has become reserved for the history-dependent changes in the so-called short-range elastic component (SREC) (2), even though there remains controversy about the appropriateness of confining the terminology (3). The key supporting findings for a cross-bridge mechanism behind muscle thixotropy are the $[Ca^{2+}]$ dependence (3,4) and 2,3 butanedione 2-monoxime sensitivity (5) of SREC. The evidence seems compelling. However, before a final conclusion is drawn prematurely, some important aspects are worth considering. First, individual titin molecules display pronounced history-dependent viscoelastic behavior (6,7), and they are therefore thixotropic by the true definition of the term. It is highly unlikely that titin's thixotropy remains completely hidden in sarcomere mechanics. Second, $[Ca^{2+}]$ dependence in titin mechanics (8) and titin-actin interactions (9) have been reported, which could contribute to calcium-dependent thixotropic behavior of muscle. Third, the absolute specificity and the full spectrum of 2,3 butanedione 2-monoxime effect remain controversial (10). Finally, the number of newly discovered sarcomeric structural and associated proteins and interactions is on the rise (11); they may change our perception of the fine details of muscle mechanics.

We suggest resolving the debate about muscle thixotropy experimentally. For example, by using small recombinant PEVK fragments to specifically compete with in situ interactions, the role of titin and its domains in muscle thixotropy can be directly tested. In fact, in preliminary experiments, soaking rabbit psoas muscle fibers in relaxing solution containing a polyE motif-rich titin PEVK-domain fragment

resulted in concentration-dependent, reversible reduction in SREC stiffness and maximum tension of the SREC response (12). The observation suggests that sarcomeric interactions of the PEVK domain may contribute significantly to muscle thixotropy. Ultimately, single-molecule experiments on pure systems will be required to resolve the muscle thixotropy controversy, that is, to determine whether a single mechanism is responsible for this phenomenon. After all, does it matter if more mechanisms are involved? A dynamic interplay between concurrent active and passive sarcomeric components may provide a more finely tuned control of muscle function.

We thank Brennan Decker for helpful comments.

We acknowledge grant support by the Hungarian Science Foundation (OTKA T049591), Hungarian National Office for Research and Technology (OMFB-01600/2006, OMFB-01627/2006, OMFB-00198/2007, KFKT-1-2006-0021), and the Hungarian Ministry of Health (ETT-506/2006). Zsolt Mártonfalvi was supported by a short-term European Molecular Biology Organization Fellowship.

REFERENCES

1. Campbell, K. S., and M. Lakie. 2007. Response to Bianco et al.: interaction forces between F-actin and titin PEVK domain measured with optical tweezers. *Biophys. J.* 94:327–328.
2. Hill, D. K. 1968. Tension due to interaction between the sliding filaments in resting muscle: the effect of stimulation. *J. Physiol.* 199:637–684.
3. Campbell, K. S., and R. L. Moss. 2000. A thixotropic effect in contracting rabbit psoas muscle: prior movement reduces the initial tension response to stretch. *J. Physiol.* 525:531–548.
4. Campbell, K. S., and R. L. Moss. 2002. History-dependent mechanical properties of permeabilized rat soleus muscle fibers. *Biophys. J.* 82: 929–943.
5. Campbell, K. S., J. R. Patel, and R. L. Moss. 2003. Cycling cross-bridges increase myocardial stiffness at submaximal levels of Ca^{2+} activation. *Biophys. J.* 84:3807–3815.
6. Kellermayer, M. S., S. B. Smith, C. Bustamante, and H. L. Granzier. 2001. Mechanical fatigue in repetitively stretched single molecules of titin. *Biophys. J.* 80:852–863.
7. Kellermayer, M. S., S. B. Smith, H. L. Granzier, and C. Bustamante. 1997. Folding-unfolding transitions in single titin molecules characterized with laser tweezers. *Science*. 276:1112–1116.
8. Labeit, D., K. Watanabe, C. Witt, H. Fujita, Y. Wu, S. Lahmers, T. Funck, S. Labeit, and H. Granzier. 2003. Calcium-dependent molecular spring elements in the giant protein titin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 100:13716–13721.

Submitted September 18, 2007, and accepted for publication September 20, 2007.

Address reprint requests to Miklós S. Z. Kellermayer, Dept. of Biophysics, University of Pécs, Faculty of Medicine, Szigei út 12, Pécs H-7624, Hungary. Tel.: 36-72-536-271; Fax: 36-72-536-261; E-mail: miklos.kellermayer.jr@aok.pte.hu.

Editor: David D. Thomas.

© 2008 by the Biophysical Society

0006-3495/08/01/329/02 \$2.00

doi: 10.1529/biophysj.107.122309

9. Kellermayer, M. S., and H. L. Granzier. 1996. Calcium-dependent inhibition of in vitro thin-filament motility by native titin. *FEBS Lett.* 380:281–286.
10. Forer, A., and L. Fabian. 2005. Does 2,3-butanedione monoxime inhibit nonmuscle myosin? *Protoplasma.* 225:1–4.
11. Linke, W. A. 2007. Sense and stretchability: the role of titin and titin-associated proteins in myocardial stress-sensing and mechanical dysfunction. *Cardiovasc. Res.* In press.
12. Mártonfalvi, Z., M. Linari, M. Caremani, V. Lombardi, A. Nagy, and M. S. Z. Kellermayer. 2007. Interaction between actin and titin PEVK domain studied at the sarcomeric level. *IV International Congress on Molecular Recognition, Pécs, Hungary.* 69.

Miklós S. Z. Kellermayer,* Pasquale Bianco,*[†]
 Zsolt Mártonfalvi,* Attila Nagy,* András Kengyel,*
 Dávid Szatmári,* Tamás Huber,* Marco Linari,[†]
 Marco Caremani,[†] and Vincenzo Lombardi[†]

**Department of Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary*

[†]Laboratorio di Fisiologia, Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e Genetica, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Florence, Italy